

NE REGIONAL OPENER

Binghamton University

9/21 - 9/23/2012

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Binghamton MO	POINTS	RANKS
2	John Maine	27.0	4
1	Mark Ochweri	29.5	3

NEGATIVE

POS	JCU DM	POINTS	RANKS
1	Drew Dockery	28.5	1
2	Brigette Mendes	28	2

The better debating was done by the Neg from JCU
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: John Clarke

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Binghamton: Good job trying to get all the arguments but I think you spread yourself too much. You didn't to explain how your impacts outweigh. If I do not get that analysis it makes it harder for me to evaluate the aff in the round.

JCU: The rebuttals could be better in explaining why the issues in the disad and Kritik are unique. Not giving me that argument makes it harder for me to evaluate your arguments in this round over the aff.

NE REGIONAL OPENER

Binghamton University

9/21 - 9/23/2012

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	USMA CH	POINTS	RANKS
1	Chris Collar	28.5	2
2	Thom Hart	29	1

NEGATIVE

POS	CUNY FD	POINTS	RANKS
1	Todd Francis	28	4
2	Giuseoee De Mattheis	28	3

The better debating was done by the Aff from USMA CH
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

End of round:

- Perm still links to biopower → ~~but~~ state domination
- ^{BUT} Aff solves nuke war
- Aff causes federalism/ heg to collapse → heg bad / prevent war

Err aff, no impact calculus from either side

