

# NE REGIONAL OPENER

Binghamton University

9/21 - 9/23/2012

## AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Binghamton TC	POINTS	RANKS
1	jocelyn Ticknor 26	<del>26</del>	3
2	Katelyn Checko 26	<del>26</del>	2

## NEGATIVE

POS	GMU EM	POINTS	RANKS
2	LEO EMERSON 27	<del>27</del>	1
1	LUKE MELTON 26	<del>26</del>	4

The better debating was done by the NEG from GMU wins

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

### COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

DIFFICULT TO SORT OUT COMPETING CLAIMS ON CASE, SINCE ~~NEO~~ TEAM GUESSED MEAT OF WHAT TO DECIDE ACROSS CLAIMS

HOWEVER, AFF REBUTTALS FAIL TO ARGUE DISASTERS, EACH OF WHICH ENDS IN EXTREMELY SCENARIO'S

CLERK CASE CLAIMS SEEM TO MINGLED "CO2 NECESSARY" ARGUMENT BY AFF, BUT AFF WINS SOME RISK OF CASE - NEO OWN'S CASE HOWEVER, W/ DATA

# NE REGIONAL OPENER

## Binghamton University

9/21 - 9/23/2012

### AFFIRMATIVE

POS	New School TF	POINTS	RANKS
2	Kyle Trevett	27	4
1	John Fowle	28	2

### NEGATIVE

POS	Rutgers BM	POINTS	RANKS
1	Jazir Beckford	27.3	3
2	Devane Murphy	28.2	1

The better debating was done by the Neg from Rutgers  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

### COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- 1) I'm not liking the 2AR decisions.
- 2) IF 2AR decides to go for role of ballot, there NEEDS to be a "why look at role of ballot first", rather than an assumption that I would. It's role of ballot vs procedural, w a lot of cross-applied args. It should not be my job to make that arg.
- 3) The way "role of ballot" is articulated in 2AR is not doing it. It's more on solvency of anthro and case extensions than talking about how judge should evaluate round after 7 speeches. ~~and~~

