

# NE REGIONAL OPENER

## Binghamton University

9/21 - 9/23/2012

### AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Binghamton MD	POINTS	RANKS
1	Sydney Marks	27.5	1
2	Andrea Dewhirst	26	4

### NEGATIVE

POS	GMU EM	POINTS	RANKS
2	LEO EMERSON	27.3	2
1	LUKE MELTON	26.8	3

The better debating was done by the neg from GMU  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: Stefan Doucette

### COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

aff team dropped aff after the IAC. no reason to vote aff.

~~aff team~~

good debating on the ~~aff~~ politics. DAs, but aff needs to read more cards after IAC.

# NE REGIONAL OPENER

## Binghamton University

9/21 - 9/23/2012

### AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Binghamton RY	POINTS	RANKS
1	Sonya Robinson	27	1
2	Phillip Yuen	26.5	2

### NEGATIVE

POS	Cornell FX	POINTS	RANKS
	<del>Elise Frank</del>		
1/2	Shirley Xu	23	3

The better debating was done by the AFF from Binghamton  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: John Clarke

### COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Cornell: There were a number of good arguments made by your team but it lack a lot of substance in order to turn case. To make certain claims that you made there needs to be evidence to back it up and proving that the affirmative will cause something worse to happen. I cannot make a decision on those basis alone.

Binghamton: Good job on extending and using case to answer the args, but there was one argument that was crucial that you really answer. It was not too damaging but make sure to answer every offensive argument.

