

NE REGIONAL OPENER

Binghamton University

9/21 - 9/23/2012

AFFIRMATIVE

| POS | Binghamton TC | POINTS | RANKS |
|-----|--------------------|---------------|-------|
| 1 | jocelyn Ticknor 26 | 26 | 3 |
| 2 | Katelyn Checko 26 | 26 | 2 |

NEGATIVE

| POS | GMU EM | POINTS | RANKS |
|-----|----------------|---------------|-------|
| 2 | LEO EMERSON 27 | 27 | 1 |
| 1 | LUKE MELTON 26 | 26 | 4 |

The better debating was done by the NEG from GMU wins

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

DIFFICULT TO SORT OUT COMPETING CLAIMS ON CASE, SINCE ~~NEO~~ TEAM GUESSED MEAT OF WHAT TO DECIDE ACROSS CLAIMS

HOWEVER, AFF REBUTTALS FAIL TO ARGUE DISASTERS, EACH OF WHICH ENDS IN EXTREMELY SCENARIOS

CLERK CASE CLAIMS SEEM TO MINGLED "CO2 NECESSARY" ARGUMENT BY AFF, BUT AFF WINS SOME RISK OF CASE - NEO OWN'S CASE HOWEVER, W/ DATA

NE REGIONAL OPENER

Binghamton University

9/21 - 9/23/2012

AFFIRMATIVE

| POS | New School TF | POINTS | RANKS |
|-----|---------------|--------|-------|
| 2 | Kyle Trevett | 27 | 4 |
| 1 | John Fowle | 28 | 2 |

NEGATIVE

| POS | Rutgers BM | POINTS | RANKS |
|-----|----------------|--------|-------|
| 1 | Jazir Beckford | 27.3 | 3 |
| 2 | Devane Murphy | 28.2 | 1 |

The better debating was done by the Neg from Rutgers
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- 1) I'm not liking the 2AR decisions.
- 2) IF 2AR decides to go for role of ballot, there NEEDS to be a "why look at role of ballot first", rather than an assumption that I would. It's role of ballot vs procedural, w a lot of cross-applied args. It should not be my job to make that arg.
- 3) The way "role of ballot" is articulated in 2AR is not doing it. It's more on solvency of anthro and case extensions than talking about how judge should evaluate round after 7 speeches. ~~and~~

